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Introduction

What are Guided Pathways, Anyway?
What Are Guided Pathways, Anyway?

**Student Success Keeping College Leaders Up at Night**

Definition of ‘Student Success’ Evolving and Expanding Over Time

At the beginning of each research cycle, EAB researchers poll members and ask a seemingly simple question: “What’s keeping you up at night?” The aim is to understand the top challenges college leaders face in their roles. Even the most casual observers of the community college sector will not be surprised to learn that over the past years, college leaders have identified student success as the most important item on their long list of priorities. The diagram below demonstrates the evolving questions about ‘student success’ at colleges across the country.

### Access
- What does ‘success’ mean for our increasingly diversifying population?
- How can we provide students high-touch support at scale?
- Can we bridge the gap between academics and student services?
- How do we help students earn their degrees faster?
- Can we demystify post-graduate careers early to avoid mismatches?

### Post-Grad Outcomes
- Are graduates developing standard competencies within each program?
- Can we establish value in a competitive market?
- How do we better align programs to employer needs?
- Are we creating opportunities for skill building in and out of class?

### Retention
- How can we minimize effort across the intake process?
- Can we establish value in a competitive market?
- How do we better align programs to employer needs?
- Are we creating opportunities for skill building in and out of class?

**Access:** These perennial questions have been relevant for community colleges since their inception. In 1947, the Truman Commission recommended the creation of a national network of community colleges which would “have to carry a large part of the responsibility for expanding opportunities in higher education.” Since then, questions about ensuring open access to community college have continued to be top-of-mind for leaders.

**Retention:** The first study on retention was published in the mid-1970s, and the concept grew in popularity in the decades that followed. Recently, retention has become of even greater importance for college leaders as public scrutiny of higher education intensifies and more state funding is tied to student progress and graduation.

**Post-Graduate Outcomes:** Over the past few years, the public has asked, “Why go to college?” For public institutions, this skepticism presents a real threat to the fate of the college in the long-term, and to funding streams in the short-term. College leaders are now expected to demonstrate ROI, or return on investment, from higher education, typically in the form of job placement tests, salary bumps, and alumni satisfaction.

Though community college leaders debate the fairness of holding the institution responsible for answering such a lengthy list of questions, EAB members agree their jobs now require crafting an approach to this evolving and expanding 21st century definition of ‘student success.’
What Are Guided Pathways, Anyway?

CUNY Setting Strong Example for Two-Year Sector
EAB Develops Research and Technology to Bring High-Touch Models to Scale

In Fall 2007, the City University of New York (CUNY) system launched the Accelerated Study in Associate Program (ASAP) with the aim of helping socially and economically disadvantaged students in the city earn an associate degree as quickly as possible. Participants were required to enroll in at least 12 credits per semester, register for a prescribed block schedule of courses, and meet with dedicated ASAP advisors in twice-monthly advising sessions, in addition to regular tutoring, seminars, employment workshops, and other events.

In 2012, CUNY opened the Stella and Charles Gutman Community College (Guttman CC) with the promise of piloting a new vision of the community college experience. Guttman CC shared many similarities to ASAP, but took the innovations further by scaling the boutique program to an entire institution.

Put simply, the results of CUNY’s Great Experiment with ASAP and Guttman CC were phenomenal. Despite an overwhelming proportion of students entering with traditional risk factors (e.g., low-income, developmental placement, etc.), over half (53%) of ASAP participants earned an associate degree in three years, compared to 23% of comparison students. When factoring in university transfer, college ‘completion’ rates rise to 63%, compared to 44% for comparison groups.

Back to Reality (for Everyone Else)

Though the outcomes of CUNY’s student success models were impressive, the price tag attached to each was gut-wrenching. Community college leaders came to regard ASAP as an unachievable goal upon seeing its price tag: the cost to deliver such a high-touch program was about $4,000 per participant, amounting to $1.2 million each year. Cash-strapped institutions managing deep budget cuts searched for ways to deliver a similarly personalized, high-touch student experience at a fraction of the price—and called on EAB for national best practices.

In response, EAB researchers set out to answer an important question: How can community colleges prevent students from dropping out and instead keep them on path to graduation? To fully understand the scope of the challenge, we deployed researchers across the country to conduct the following activities:

• Student focus groups and interviews
• Secret shopping enrollment exercises
• College staff and administrator interviews

Very quickly, we learned that while common barriers to student completion may become obvious mid-semester, they all originated in decisions made during onboarding, the period of time between application and the first day of class.

Preventing Early Attrition and the Student Success Collaborative—Navigate

This primary and secondary research became the basis of two major EAB publications: Preventing Early Attrition and Eliminating Enrollment Pain Points. Our findings also informed the development of EAB’s Student Success Collaborative—Navigate, which is centered around a student-facing Guided Pathways platform created specifically for the community college sector. The platform addresses four key dropout culprits by providing guided onboarding, intelligent academic planning, advisor dashboards, and actionable insights that empower students, staff, and leaders with the information they need to support and ensure timely completion.

In 2014, Nashua Community College (NH) and Tulsa Community College (OK) joined the Collaborative as alpha partners to support the design, development, and launch of this comprehensive student success solution.

What Are Guided Pathways, Anyway?

A Buzzword is Born
Bailey, Jaggars, and Jenkins Introduce “Guided Pathways”

In 2015, researchers from the Community College Research Center at Teachers College, Columbia University published *Redesigning America’s Community Colleges: A Clearer Path to Student Success*. The authors, Thomas R. Bailey, Shanna Smith Jaggars, and Davis Jenkins, reaffirmed their prominence and ‘celebrity’ in the two-year sector—seemingly every college leader, faculty member, researcher, and newspaper editor was talking about the lessons learned from the publication, including a need to abandon the traditional “cafeteria college” model:

> Community colleges and other broad-access institutions are well designed to serve the mission of providing low-cost access to college. However, the same features that have enabled these institutions to provide broad access to college make them poorly designed to facilitate completion of high-quality college programs...we refer to the prevailing model as the ‘cafeteria’ or ‘self-service’ college because students are left to navigate often complex and ill-defined pathways mostly on their own.”
> —Redesigning America’s Community Colleges, p. 12-13

The Mystery of Ubiquity

*Redesigning America’s Community Colleges* also reinvigorated the use of the term ‘Guided Pathways,’ which existed previously (but not as popularly) as ‘guided pathways’ or ‘structured pathways.’ Look no further than Google Search to confirm the growing popularity of Guided Pathways. In an analysis of search terms including “Guided Pathways,” our research team found a spike occurring in May 2015, just a few short months after the release of *Redesigning America’s Community Colleges*. However, as Pathways made their way onto conference program books, newspaper headlines, and task force titles, conversations online and in our office confirmed a need for common language around Guided Pathways.

As one EAB member observed in a recent interview, “We now talk about Pathways with a capital ‘P’ rather than a lower-case ‘p’, but I don’t think there’s any more clarity about its definition now than in the past.” Many leaders admit that Guided Pathways has become a catch-all term for a variety of models, campaigns, and initiatives (educational plans, early warning systems, default scheduling, career ladders, meta-majors, etc.). EAB members asked us for a clear definition, and more importantly—how the model can be operationalized on campus.

The confusion—or rather, the skepticism—about how we think about, write of, or refer to Guided Pathways is warranted. While Bailey, Jaggars, and Jenkins eloquently articulated the shared challenge community college students face at their institutions, readers were left unsure how to implement Guided Pathways at their colleges.
Despite some confusion, the Guided Pathways train is showing no signs of slowing down. In fact, within the past few years, interest in the model has only intensified. Much of this can be ascribed to the long list of organizations who have published research about Guided Pathways and encouraged institutional adoption of the model:

- Achieving the Dream
- American Association of Community Colleges
- Aspen Institute
- Community College Survey of Student Engagement
- Community College Research Center
- Complete College America
- Jobs for the Future
- National Center for Inquiry and Improvement

There is also state and private money available to support Guided Pathways. For example, the Integrated Planning and Advising for Student Success (iPASS) initiative is a $6 million grant program that funds institutions adopting Guided Pathways technology. The grant specifically supports technologies that improve student experiences through educational planning, enhanced counseling and coaching, and risk-targeting and intervention.

### A Working Definition

Despite millions of dollars in grant funding and consulting available to support leaders in launching Guided Pathways at their institutions, the fact remains that no clear definition of ‘Guided Pathways’ has yet emerged. Though many organizations, such as AACC, have outlined guiding principles of the model that help to clarify its goals, campus leaders still struggle to describe exactly what the model looks like when implemented. One president described this as a phenomenon of “I’ll know Guided Pathways when I see it,” which most find vague.

For the purposes of this report, our team read through hundreds of research reports, online articles, and websites pertaining to Guided Pathways to identify the common threads and craft a working definition that captures the primary goals of the model and the most often-cited features of such a model. The graphic below functions as a cross between a bible, a field guide, and a manual to help explain the goals of Guided Pathways, its typical characteristics and features, and strategies to implement that are outlined in this whitepaper.

### 4 Pillars of Guided Pathways

1. **Clarify Pathways to End Goals**
2. **Help Students Choose Pathways**
3. **Help Students Stay on Path**
4. **Ensure Students are Learning**

### 8 Common Features of a Guided Pathway College

- Onboarding Guidance
- Progress Tracking
- Meta Majors
- Degree Maps
- Proactive Advising
- Relevant Resources
- Course Milestones
- Optimized Schedules

### 25+ Strategies for Implementation¹

- Enrollment Comment Cards
- Ensuring Timely Enrollment
- First-Year Exploratory Tracks
- Course Map Edit Protocols
- Real-Time Withdrawal Alerts
- Co-Curricular Activity Maps
- Major Module Mapping
- Multi-Term Registration

---

¹ The list shows a sampling of the 25 strategies detailed in this whitepaper. More strategies are available on eab.com and outlined at the end of each section for members to explore and review on their own.

---

**Source:** “Social science research offers insights on pathways.” Community College Daily, February 2016; American Association of Community Colleges, The Pathways Project, “What is the Pathways Model?”, EAB interviews and analysis.
If Change is Scary, Get a Dog

How Should We Prepare Our Staff?

"Change is scary. But if you think change and failure is so scary that you don’t want to improve our ability to serve students, then get a dog. It’s not my job to comfort you, it’s my job to educate our students."

—Community College President
**Admitting There is a Problem**

Creating Campus-Wide Agreement about the Need for Guided Pathways

In Fall 2014, community colleges lost more than half of all applicants in the period between application and the first day of the fall term. Analyzing nearly 200 two-year public colleges who report application and enrollment data to the National Center for Education Statistics, EAB found 56% attrition during this onboarding period. This is disturbing for several reasons:

First, very few institutions track and analyze their own applicant conversion rates, which makes it nearly impossible to have an informed discussion on campus about the challenges students may face when enrolling at the college for the first time. There must be better data collection at the campus level to inform redesign efforts.

Secondly, this is a significant number of students who demonstrate interest in attending community college through their application submission who, for some reason, fail to complete enrollment by the first day of the term. Given the severe enrollment declines most community colleges face, the severity of this early attrition is difficult to ignore and impossible to explain away. While skeptics have wondered whether the act of applying to an institution should be interpreted as a commitment to attend (the “safety school” argument), data from 25 community colleges in 2015 revealed between 2% and 10% of lost applicants enroll at another college. Typically, the community college is a student’s first and only choice, and there are major barriers preventing them from enrollment.

Lastly, pre-enrollment attrition is not the only disappointing data point college leaders face. To date, community colleges have not measured a significant rise in three-year graduation rates, even when accounting for university transfer or an extended graduation timeline (six years).

**Tough Conversations**

The data isn’t easy to accept given the enormous amount of effort college leaders, along with faculty and staff, put into raising student outcomes. However, grappling with the data is the only way to move forward with redesign.

Any institution excited about realizing the promise of the Guided Pathways should first understand their own data, and identify the major pain points students face on their path to enrollment, retention, and completion. This helps narrow success efforts to the appropriate areas in need of attention.

---

### Strategy Spotlight #1: Enrollment Comment Cards

At the beginning of a new change management engagement with SSC–Navigate members, EAB consultants help staff create a process map to uncover the greatest pain points students face during enrollment. In this exercise, student service staff outline all of the steps students must take to get from application to enrollment—and the result is far from streamlined or linear.

At Thomas Nelson Community College, leaders were inspired by EAB’s process mapping and secret shopping exercises to create new ways of capturing the new student experience at the college. As one example, TNCC introduced comment cards, which gives students an opportunity to provide feedback on their service experience during enrollment, and identify what worked (and what didn’t) during their visit. College leaders report receiving 20 to 30 cards each week, with submissions serving as fodder to congratulate high-performing staff and evidence to identify areas for improvement across the frontline.

---

Source: Trends in College Pricing 2014, College Board; National Center for Education Statistics, Accessed Dec. 2015; American Association of Community Colleges, “Community College Completion: Progress toward goal of 50% increase,” May 2015; National Student Clearinghouse Research Center, Signature Reports No. 4, 6, 8, and 10; EAB interviews and analysis.
How Should We Prepare Our Staff?

Enabling and Encouraging an Open Mindset

Fostering a Culture of Innovation Means Willingness to Try, Fail, and Try Again

In large part, every college leader’s job is to create an ambitious vision for the future, and lead the charge to attain it. However, at cash-strapped institutions especially, the prospect of leading an institutional redesign as large as Guided Pathways can feel daunting, if not impossible; it’s hard to focus on innovation when you’re mired in fundraising, budgets, and resource allocation decisions. For faculty and staff, innovation feels similarly removed from the day-to-day responsibilities and challenges they face teaching and helping shape the institution.

Today’s community colleges have no choice but to innovate to compete. Students demand more from their higher education experience, and the institutions that can meet these needs will not only win enrollments in the short-term, but also retain and engage students through to graduation. Guided Pathways presents a clear way forward, if only our collective institution could be amenable to the changes this model demands.

Leaning on Design Thinking to Open Minds

When our dedicated consultants lead change management engagements for members of SSC-Navigate, they typically find that college leaders have the resources they need to make transformational change happen: their students, their staff, and their own influence on campus. The bigger question is how leaders can leverage these resources productively to make holistic improvements to the institution.

This is where a design thinking framework can inspire and lead innovation. The approach is low cost and inclusive of diverse opinions, which aligns well with the budget realities and collaborative culture of community colleges.

At its core, design thinking is an approach to innovation that relies on three key tenets of problem solving: inspiration (understanding people’s needs), ideation (exploring all possibilities for addressing people’s needs), and implementation (making ideas a reality within resource constraints). Below are three strategies to engage faculty and staff with each step in the design thinking process:

---

**Strategy Spotlights #2-#4: Three Strategies to Engage Faculty in Design Thinking**

**INSPIRATION**

Student feedback is a virtually unlimited resource: scan social media, read course surveys, or schedule focus groups to understand students’ needs.

Include faculty and staff as moderators or share results to inspire the team towards action.

Access our Student-Intake Focus Group Guide to learn best practices for assembling, monitoring, and facilitating student focus groups.

**IDEATION**

Perfect is the enemy of the good. Rather than strive for the “best” solution, work with your team to first develop a basic solution that addresses the aspect of the Guided Pathways model that is most pressing at your college. This can be a useful starting point to test with students before developing and refining later.

Learn how one institution set aside time for staff ideation and innovation on campus.

**IMPLEMENTATION**

Engage leaders from campus finance, technology, and operations offices early and often, perhaps including them in the inspiration and ideation stages of the innovation process as a way of gathering diverse perspectives.

Remind staff that student success is everyone’s job on campus – not just a select few. Read this insight for one strategy to engage faculty in college-wide success goals.

---

Excerpt from “Yes, and...” Blog Post

Matt Reed, Confessions of a Community College Dean

“We have ‘mindset’ work to do on our own campuses. We can do a better job if we’re open to the possibility that [change] can happen, and that our own efforts matter. That means starting by acknowledging the work that has already been done, and by taking pains to point out the progress that has already happened.”

---

Source: Matt Reed, “Yes, and...” Inside Higher Ed, August 2, 2016; EAB interviews and analysis.
How Should We Prepare Our Staff?

Activating Faculty as Retention Coaches

Supporting Our Greatest Allies

Many conversations about implementing a Guided Pathways model at community colleges focus on the student services changes that need to take place. This is not a new trend; in an evaluation of the first cohort of Achieving the Dream institutions, about 75% of reforms were either student services or instructional support reforms, such as tutoring. Very few changed the core academic experience or made meaningful instructional reforms, which is required to bring Guided Pathways to scale. The next section of this whitepaper addresses curricular and academic redesign in great depth. This page outlines a strategy from New Mexico Junior College to not only inform faculty of student success challenges at the institution, but to activate faculty as the first line of defense against attrition.

Strategy Spotlight #5: Real-Time Withdrawal Alerts

At New Mexico Junior College, the faculty senate wanted to help reduce total withdrawals after reviewing course-level data across the college. These faculty leaders determined that they did not have timely information about students attempting to withdraw from their courses, especially those with grades above a “C”. Like many community colleges, the NMJC system only notified faculty after a student has fully withdrawn from their course. By that time, it was too late for the faculty member to intervene.

The faculty senate and the administration worked together to redesign the withdrawal process. Now, students must wait 48-hours before they can officially withdraw from the course. During this “cooling off period,” the instructor receives an automatic notification of the student’s decision. They then have 48 hours to contact the student, identify the reason for the withdrawal, and connect students with resources and improvement plans.

With this approach to preventing withdrawals, the withdrawal rate decreased by over four percentage points in two years at New Mexico Junior College. It engages faculty members by giving them timely information and leverages their unique relationships with students to encourage progress to completion. Most students who choose not to withdraw successfully complete their courses.

Not Poking, But Partnering with the Bear

Engaging faculty as partners, rather than adversaries, in institution-wide reform efforts is important in theory, but often difficult to achieve in practice. Based on national research, we recommend striking a balance between ‘accountability’ efforts and ‘engagement’ efforts.

Accountability efforts are just that – activities, programs, or processes put in place to hold faculty responsible for student outcomes. While these can be effective, focusing on accountability practices alone leads to faculty burnout and resistance. More importantly, a paternalistic approach does create long-lasting results.

Engagement efforts are focused on building positive energy and excitement among faculty, but don’t often have a clear purpose. Focusing on engagement efforts in isolation may create a ‘warm,’ ‘inviting,’ and ‘friendly’ atmosphere on campus, but the college will never move towards its goals this way.

To achieve the goals of Guided Pathways, faculty should be brought on as partners in the redesign to share the accountability and enjoy the engagement with colleagues across campus. This practice from New Mexico Junior College is just one of many practices that strike this balance that are profiled in the Community College Executive Forum’s best practice study, Partnering with Faculty to Achieve Sustainable Campus-Wide Change.

Source: EAB interviews and analysis.
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Juice Worth the Squeeze

How Should We Redesign Our Curriculum?

“Guided Pathways stands to upend everything about our college, and the most daunting is the curriculum itself. What you need to ask yourself before commencing on this road is: ‘Is the juice worth the squeeze?’ For us, the answer was a resounding yes.”

—Community College President
How Should We Redesign Our Curriculum?

The Case for Meta Majors
Supporting Program Selection through Structured Exploratory Tracks

Many leaders have introduced meta majors to help students with initial program selection. Rather than selecting from a long list program offerings, students have a choice of a short list of meta majors—families of like majors clustered under broad thematic titles (e.g., Business, Health Science, etc.). To simplify course selection, progressive leaders have also embedded meta majors with exploratory tracks for the first term. These tracks allow students to explore the curriculum with less risk, as any course a student takes in an exploratory track will count toward degree requirements for all affiliated majors.

In addition to preventing progress setbacks if a student changes his or her major, by selecting an area of focus early, exploratory tracks give undeclared students greater sense of purpose and direction to their studies. By exposing students to curriculum critical to an area of study, exploratory tracks also help students to more quickly determine whether they have the interest and aptitude in that curricular area. The example below is from Georgia State University, a member of SSC-Navigate. This spotlight demonstrates the essential elements of GSU’s first-year exploratory tracks strategy, and the results measured since implementation.

Strategy Spotlight #6: First-Year Exploratory Tracks

Advisors: Introduce as Career Exploration Guide
- Students can select major upon application
- Introduced to meta-majors at first-year orientation

Registrar: Mandate Exploratory Tracks First Year
- Mandatory for all students, not just undeclared
- Class selection ensures timely academic progress

A Light Lift for Faculty
- Academic advisors design clusters
- No change to curricular requirements

Results
- 30% Reduction in major changes since implementation

Health Sciences
- Exercise Science
- Nursing
- Behavior and Health Science
- Occupational Therapy
- Radiology
- Students attend sessions on job opportunities related to majors in meta-major

Nurse Practitioner
Health Educator
Therapist
Radiologist

Preset Schedule
Health Sciences, Term 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English Literature</th>
<th>Required for all STEM majors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Calculus</td>
<td>Required for all STEM majors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Year Seminar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategy Spotlight #7: More Ideas for Implementing Exploratory Tracks within Meta Majors

At Queensborough Community College, another institution with meta majors, staff recommend assessing historical enrollment data to identify the most popular classes for first-year students and use this data to shape first year exploratory tracks that students find appealing. In addition, tracks should include general education requirements. Consider students’ often varying nonacademic commitments (e.g., jobs, familial duties) when building tracks. Students typically favor completing all courses in a block of time, rather than spaced out across the day. Finally, many students enter college unsure of their major, but sure that they intend to transfer. Clearly marking courses that transfer to four-year university partners helps students make informed decisions.

Source: EAB interviews and analysis.
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Nuts and Bolts

Implementation Considerations for Academic Redesigns

While meta majors are a first step towards bringing Guided Pathways to life on campus, there are various implementation details that college leaders recommend to optimize academics on campus. The strategies and advice below come from college leaders that have implemented Guided Pathways at their institutions.

The Promise and Perils of Minimizing Course Electives

Most leaders are familiar with the behavioral economic theory of choice architecture, the idea that an individual’s decision is influenced by the context in which the decision is made, such as the environment or the number of choices. To prevent students from becoming distracted or misled by an overwhelming list of electives, colleges have limited the number of electives students may choose from when customizing their academic pathway.

However, minimizing elective options has consequences for instructional staff, whose courses may be left off the course map for each meta major. In fact, during EAB research conversations with community college presidents nationwide, fear of faculty revolt in the face of academic redesign was mentioned as a top concern.

EAB recommends creating a standardized internal protocol for editing course maps at the college. At Indian River State College, leaders require that any courses included in a meta major course map comply with a short list of requirements. See the box on the right for sample questions leaders may use to assess changes to meta major course maps.

Strategy Spotlight #8: Questions to Assess Course Map Edits (Adapted)

- Is this a general education requirement?
- Is this transferrable to a university partner?
- Is this offered in-person and online?
- Is this offered on multiple campuses?
- Is this offered during the day and night?

Strategy Spotlight #9: Using Uncapped Waitlists to Gauge True Course Demand

Student survey data suggests that administrative barriers often prevent students from timely completion, particularly when it comes to course selection and availability. In fact, lack of adequate course availability is one of two most important areas of the college experience students are the least satisfied with (the other being parking).

While size of the problem is often difficult to gauge because colleges lack sufficient data. Some colleges use historical fill rates, for example, which is helpful to a point. This metric can be useful to support closing a section if the fill rate is low; but fill rate alone says nothing about the likelihood additional sections will fill if opened.

Instead, improve data collection by uncapping course waitlists. This approach maintains the same benefits of the capped waitlist model (primarily managing access to courses to avoid over-enrollment) while creating new data to inform future scheduling decisions.

In the box on the right, we show an example of an institution with a traditional waitlist compared to a college with an uncapped, centrally managed waitlist model. While the number of students allowed into the course stays the same (e.g., 5), administrators now know how many students wish to enroll in the course and for that particular section (which indicates day and time preferences). A registrar can take this data and decide to open more sections or shift section times for future terms.

Getting a True Picture of Student Demand

Gauging Demand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capped Waitlist</th>
<th>Uncapped, Centrally Managed Waitlist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20-100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Based on survey of 93 Queen's University students. Source: Buffalo Noel Levitz 2014; EAB interviews and analysis.
Bells and Whistles
Optimization Considerations for Academic Redesigns

Another strategy to assess course demand is break from traditional registration patterns (where students typically register for one term at a time) and instead encourage students to pre-register for multiple terms at once. The strategy below is adapted from the 2015 EAB best practice study, Preventing Early Attrition.

Strategy Spotlight #10: Better Planning with Multi-Term Registration

In 2014, West Hills Community College helped students take action on their educational plans (degree maps) by allowing them to enroll in a year of courses (summer, fall, and spring) at one time. This innovation had two primary benefits:

First, more students register for summer courses and complete 30 credits per year. Second, administrators can analyze student registration patterns to create schedules that align to demand. This allows for better scheduling options, earlier faculty workload planning, and improved space allocation.

A core principle of the Guided Pathways movement is to shift away from boutique, small-scale interventions in favor of institutional redesigns that positively impact all students on campus. With that in mind, leaders must make innovations such as meta majors and course maps accessible to developmental and college-ready students. The strategies below are adapted from the 2013 EAB best practice study, Reengineering Developmental Math.

Strategy Spotlight #11: Matching Developmental Courses to Programs

A growing number of community colleges have implemented math emporiums, where traditional classrooms are transformed into interactive problem-solving time. Students use adaptive software to complete lessons with on-demand help. Exercises are personalized to individuals’ needs and include multimedia tools.

Institutions with the modified emporium model in place can tailor the developmental curriculum to students’ academic and career goals through a strategy called major module matching. At Jackson State Community College, department chairs review descriptions of each developmental math module at the institution and determine which are necessary for success in each program. Students are only required to complete modules matched to their major, which shortens the developmental sequence and ultimately improving completion rates.

Strategy Spotlight #12: Directing Students to Refreshers Before Testing

Math refresher courses have sprung up at more than a handful of colleges around the country to help students prepare for academic placement exams. The courses typically last about a week and are voluntary reviews of content that will be tested on the placement exam.

In 2011, leaders from Fayetteville Technical Community College began offering a math refresher boot camp for Special Ops students from nearby Fort Bragg. Before implementing the math refresher program, only 12% of Special Ops students placed into college math. Then the institution offered a one-week math boot camp for students to complete before taking the placement exam. With the refresher, 92% of students tested into college-math, and 80%-point increase.

Success in math refresher courses hinges on voluntary enrollment, attendance, and dedication to an intensive multiday math review. EAB research found that in-person math refreshers are most effective for highly motivated students. To appeal to a larger audience, consider connecting students with online refresher resources (e.g., MOOCs, worksheets, textbook excerpts, etc.).
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Designing with the End in Mind
Creating Transfer-Ready, Employer-Informed Academic Pathways

While building clear, streamlined academic pathways, college leaders must also consider the ‘destination’ of said pathways—if Guided Pathways are a means to an end, how are we preparing students through these new pathways for transfer and/or employment? The American Association of Community Colleges’ (AACC) Pathways Project considers this questions a critical underlying principle when building Guided Pathways: “The redesign process starts with student end goals for careers and further education in mind and ‘backwards maps’ programs and supports to ensure that students are prepared to thrive in employment and education at the next level.”

Transfer-Ready Pathways a Challenge for Most
For community colleges in states with strong centralized coordinating boards, such as Michigan or Florida, creating academic pathways that set students up for successful transfer to the state’s public universities is a straightforward endeavor. In Florida, for example, the state’s two-year and four-colleges have a shared course numbering system that even select private institutions in the state have aligned themselves with to appeal to transfer students. Operating in a system like this, redesigning the curriculum to create Guided Pathways for all majors can occur without question of whether credits will be accepted for transfer from the university.

Unfortunately, in the absence of such a centralized state system or coordinating board, most community colleges struggle to ensure that newly created Guided Pathways will set students up for smooth transition to a university. While some colleges have attempted to overcome this challenge¹, no best practices have emerged.

Employer-Informed Pathways
While 80% of community college students intend to transfer to a university upon graduation, only 25% transfer within five years. This suggests that the vast majority of community college enrollees will enter the workforce after graduation and need to be well-prepared to succeed in their chosen industry during their time in college.

There is no greater expert in what employers want in their future employees than the employers themselves. Increasingly, community college leaders are leveraging existing relationships with local employers to help inform the academic and non-academic skills most critical to teach students across a Guided Pathway. Three strategies for leveraging employer insights indirectly and directly are outlined below.

Strategy Spotlight #13: Industry-Demand Analysis
At CUNY Guttman, meta-majors are created based on employer demand, to ensure students are educated and prepared for in-demand jobs. Refer to job market analytic tools such as Burning Glass or O*NET for regional data.

Access our Regional and State Employer Demand Dashboards to see the hottest jobs, skills, and employers in 2014-15.

Strategy Spotlight #14: Employer Roundtables
In Spring 2016, Sinclair invited employers from the college’s workforce advisory board to discuss the skills and competencies they’re seeking from potential hires. Program staff (including faculty and the dean) were asked to sit on the perimeter of the room to listen and take notes, using the information to inform future program development.

Strategy Spotlight #15: Meta Major Sponsors
DCCCD assigns a corporate partner to each early college high school (or ‘academy’) to provide mentorships, internships, and employment opportunities to participating students. A similar model could be introduced for the college’s meta majors.

Learn how Partnership Account Managers can help colleges secure meta major sponsors.

¹) Our research uncovered three common strategies colleges have adopted to ease the transition from a two-year to four-year program, though all are difficult to scale: individual student counseling for all students who intend to transfer, articulation agreements with select university partners, and requirement analyses of top transfer destinations.
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A Bed of Nails

How Should We Optimize the Student Experience?

“For many administrators, college is like a bed of nails. If there was only one problem in the enrollment process and you encountered it, it would hurt. But since there are so many, the president or the provost doesn’t even notice them. Unfortunately for our students, they feel every single one.”

—Community College President
Making Sense of the Onboarding Maze

Simplifying Enrollment Steps and Supporting Critical Career Decisions

From submitting a college application to attending the first day of classes, administrators see the enrollment process as a distinct and linear path. In reality, students struggle to make sense of this complex system; they don’t know where to go or what questions to ask. After conducting hundreds of interviews with students across the country, EAB researchers found an apt metaphor for the typical community college onboarding process: a game of “Chutes and Ladders.” While the exact size, shape, and structure of the onboarding maze varies by institution, the reality is that more than half of all community college applicants are lost before the first day of class, and those who remain often feel ignored and abandoned during this critical stage in their educational careers.

Strategy Spotlight #16: Implementing Personalized Guidance during Onboarding

Partnering with EAB, leaders at Danville Community College identified pain points that applicants encountered during onboarding, and introduced a combination of best practices and technology to address these concerns.

The first step was to reduce the number of times students visited campus to complete enrollment from four visits to two. Newly admitted Danville students were also introduced to Navigate, through an embedded link in their welcome email. With early access to the platform, students no longer visit campus for a ‘program placement’ appointment, and still receive personalized guidance. The platform also guides students to complete enrollment on time; 77% of Fall 2016 applicants who logged into Navigate completed enrollment that term.

Since partnering with EAB in early 2016, Danville Community College has seen a 4.6% increase in their applicant conversion rate, which suggests entering students are encountering a far less confusing enrollment process and are benefitting from personalized onboarding guidance in Navigate.

Strategy Spotlight #17: Ensuring Timely Completion of All Intake Steps

Because of the length and complexity of the enrollment process, applicants can easily misunderstand the steps they need to take. In the absence of communications from the college, many may feel frustrated and overwhelmed, and they may fail to complete enrollment.

Lake-Sumter State College sends personalized emails to applicants to alert them to remaining steps in the enrollment process. Admissions staff email applicants approximately five times during each admissions cycle. Messages give instruction to complete a specific step such as financial aid, orientation, or registration.

On average, it takes admissions staff only 25 minutes to set up each email campaign and send it to all relevant recipients. However, staff must also set aside time to respond to incoming messages because many applicants reply with questions about the outlined steps.

See the box on the right to learn about the substantial financial returns LSSC has realized from this strategy in the first few years of implementation, in terms of applicant conversion gains and tuition revenue.

Results of Missing Step Enrollment Prompts

+3%  
Rise in average applicant conversion rate from 59% in 2013 to 62% in 2015

$191K  
Estimated additional tuition revenue per year1

---

1) Assumes students enrolled in an average of 20 credits per year at in-state tuition rate.

Source: EAB interviews and analysis.
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Personalizing the Commuter Campus
Coordinating Wraparound Student and Career Services

Removing administrative and process barriers is critical to build Guided Pathways on campus, but is insufficient alone. For all students to remain on path and continue to learn throughout their time in college, leaders must also proactively connect them to relevant support resources. As an increasing percentage of community college entrants matriculate with dire academic and non-academic support needs, college leaders must connect them to on- and off-campus resources.

Bear in mind that simply creating support services will not adequately meet student needs. Data from the 2011 Community College Survey of Student Engagement (CCSSE) and Community College Institutional Survey (CCIS) shows that while the vast majority of two-year colleges have basic resources like academic tutoring centers (99%), student success courses (84%), and first-year experience programs (60%), less than a third of students report participating.

To solve this service under-utilization challenge, consider the strategy below from Mount Wachusett Community College to proactively match students with relevant resources at the institution.

Integrating Student Services with Academics
College leaders should also consider strategies for connecting students to co-curricular clubs, services, and activities that will deepen and strengthen their learning within a particular discipline. EAB research identified two universities leveraging co-curricular maps to engage students with non-academic resources on campus.

Georgia State University created roughly 30 co-curricular maps that suggests on- and off-campus activities associated with the content of the course map. Inspired by GSU, faculty, career services staff, and students from Queen’s University created their own maps to nudge students towards experiential and service learning opportunities, online resources, and suggested career fields. Maps are revised annually to maintain relevance.

Strategy Spotlight #19: Co-Curricular Maps
Co-curricular maps at Queen’s University were an instant hit, with over 65,000 views of the online pages within the first year. The maps can serve multiple purposes: recruiting (for prospective students) and registration (for enrolled students).

95%
Of surveyed students agreed that the maps help them understand the skills and careers associated with programs¹

89%
Of surveyed students agreed that the major maps help them be more aware of experiential learning opportunities¹

Profile of Community College Students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students placed into remedial courses, 2008</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time students completing FAFSA, 2013</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-traditional age student enrollees, 2013</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reducing Anxiety Around Tuition Bills

Connect Students with Straightforward Financial Aid Information

In an EAB survey of 125+ community college presidents, the point during onboarding where leaders see the most attrition is financial aid. While the vast majority of entering community college students apply for financial aid, most institutions offer a fragmented array of supports to help students connect with and make sense of the ample resources available. Since financial aid is optional, few colleges have built adequate structure around the offering.

Even beyond onboarding, finances are a major reason enrolled students drop out mid-semester, before completing a credential. Recent studies by the Community College Research Center and Public Agenda prove that students who fail to receive some type of financial assistance (e.g., scholarships, private loans, or federal aid) are more much more likely to drop out compared to those who received assistance.

The complexity of funding and payment in higher education causes distress even among students with little to no financial hardship. Too many students avoid aid applications altogether (42% of Pell-eligible community college students never complete the FAFSA), make critical errors in applying for federal or institutional aid (62% of students selected for federal aid verification thought the application process was complete), or are just unaware of the availability of various funding sources (over 50% of college hopeful have never heard of the federal Net Price Calculator).

Leverage Technology to Scale Basic Advisement

Colleges should take immediate action to maximize student awareness and utilization of financial resources, beginning with the creation of web-based, self-service tools that significantly reduce uncertainty and error with minimal one-on-one staff time. The University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB) created a widely popular series of video guides based on the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) to help applicants and current students navigate each step of the process.

UCSB’s FAFSA guide has remained among the top five Google search results for “FAFSA steps” for several years, demonstrating its value not only to their own student population, but as a resource to other students and institutions as well. There is no need to reinvent tutorials addressing standardized topics such as federal or state-administered student aid; preexisting resources can and should be leveraged by others.

Several vendors have also emerged to help institutions address common financial aid questions. Financial Aid TV, for example, partners with institutional aid offices to host hundreds of premade instructional videos on institutional websites. Partner institutions are able to pick and choose individual videos or video categories to include and provide a comprehensive array of guidance without needing to invest in creating high-quality (and expensive) video tutorials themselves. The videos target student audiences; within the first year of launching the video library at Rutgers University, administrators recorded over 47,000 views of the content, signaling a real need for support.
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“The struggle at my college is always getting people to buy-in to a new program or service—and I’m not even talking about faculty. I mean students, or our community members. And that’s where my expertise just stops. I know it sounds silly, but it’s so hard and I don’t really know why. These are human beings, after all.”

—Community College Vice President
Marketing College Outcomes

Guided Pathways Sparks Questions about Institutional Positioning

Any institution creating the transformational changes required to fully adopt the Guided Pathways model should protect its investments by ensuring their value and benefits are properly communicated to campus constituents. This section investigates practices for communicating Guided Pathways-related changes to students. Given the variety of this group, it is important for college leadership to deliberately create a clear, cohesive message that can be easily seen, digested, and understood.

Focus on Outcomes

One way to explain the institutional shift towards Guided Pathways is an enhanced focus on student outcomes on par with the traditional community college mission of open access. Defined broadly, student outcomes include university transfer, job placement, debt load, overall satisfaction, and long-term well-being (i.e., is this graduate equipped with the life skills to live her best life as she defines it?).

Experts have taken this focus on outcomes as a welcome alignment with students’ priorities. To appeal to money-conscious students (and parents) searching for ROI, some community college are incorporating career outcomes into their marketing messaging. While this messaging may be novel among public two-year colleges, four-year and for-profit competitors have found great gains in emphasizing these themes in their outreach efforts.

Cost Savings Alone Build ‘Cheap’ Brand, Not ‘Quality’ Brand

An EAB survey of community college advertisements found that most campaigns focus on a single attribute of the institution: low tuition. Although community colleges’ tuition is typically lower than their competitors’, a brand built solely on affordability may alienate audiences who equate low cost with low quality. Marketing directors revisiting how colleges convey their value proposition should instead focus on high return and high quality.

Strategy Spotlight #21: Faculty-Centered Campaigns

A marketing campaign from Alamo Colleges profiles the real-world expertise of faculty across various disciplines. Through a series of five television commercials, three radio commercials, and a website, this campaign demonstrates how 96 faculty members draw on their professional experiences to enhance classroom instruction.

Participating faculty include an accounting professor who works as a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) and a political science professor who previously served as city mayor (see photos on right). These profiles allow prospective students to understand the applied expertise that distinguishes community college faculty from the stereotypical “ivory tower” academics common in other higher education sectors.

The campaigns also educate students about the programs offered at the college. Students unfamiliar with Alamo Colleges may not know it offers an accounting technology degree until they see an advertisement featuring a professor who works as a certified public accountant.

Sources: Matt Reed, “Belonging,” Inside Higher Ed, October 24, 2016; California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office, “New Salary Surfer Website Shows Median Earnings for Those Who Earn Certificates and Associate Degrees at California Community Colleges,” June 2015; EAB interviews and analysis.
Once an institution decides on the right messaging to explain the shift towards Guided Pathways, the next step is to choose appropriate communication channels most likely to be seen by students. The strategies below are inspired by members of SSC-Navigate; members have identified several unique ways of sharing news of Guided Pathways and the Navigate platform that are easy to implement at any institution.

**Educating Constituents about Campus-Wide Changes:**

**Strategy Spotlight #22: Educate Staff and Faculty about Guided Pathways Rationale**
Pueblo Community College maintains an online resource center for all faculty and staff to understand why the college adopted Guided Pathways and learn about associated changes. In addition to maintaining a database, the college also communicated to staff in the following ways:
- Email senior staff explaining Guided Pathways
- Include Guided Pathways in strategic plan
- Invite Guided Pathways expert to campus
- Create simple collateral to promote Pathways
- Foster open dialogue about Guided Pathways

**Strategy Spotlight #23: Educate Students about Guided Pathways with Marketing**
College leaders consider students the most important, and difficult, campus constituency to communicate with about Guided Pathways. Taking a mass marketing approach, Thomas Nelson Community College identified several tactics to inform students about the Navigate platform, which can be adapted for any announcement:
- Incorporate Navigate into standard enrollment steps for all new students
- Introduce Navigate to students during orientation and other formal meetings
- Remind students about Navigate with posters

**Empowering Constituents as Advocates:**

**Strategy Spotlight #24: Empower Staff as Advocates for Guided Pathways Initiatives**
College staff may agree with Guided Pathways in principle, but struggle to communicate the model to students; SSC-Navigate members face similar challenges promoting the technology to students. To empower staff as advocates of the technology, EAB created a Campus Adoption Toolkit with the following resources (partial list):
- Basic Fact Sheet Builder
- FAQ Scripting Guide
- Welcome Letter Templates
- Sample Email Signatures

**Strategy Spotlight #25: Empower Students as Advocates for Guided Pathways Initiatives**
Popular wisdom in student communication suggests that students are most likely to listen to and retain messages from peers, rather than from administrators. To ensure new students felt comfortable using Navigate, leaders at Wallace State Community College tapped current students to act as ‘ambassadors’ of the platform. To work as an ambassador, students had to demonstrate:
- Familiarity with the platform by actively participating in EAB pilot testing cohorts
- Commitment to the ambassador role by taking on the position through work-study
Supplemental Reading List
Research-Based Resources Available on eab.com

EAB Best Practice Studies
- Marketing Across the Program Lifecycle, 2016
- Student Social Media Ambassadors, The Shifting Enrollment Landscape, pg. 76, 2016

EAB Toolkit
- Navigate Campus Adoption Toolkit, 2016

EAB Whitepapers
- Conveying the Community College Value Proposition, Excellence in Community College Marketing, 2014
- Allocating Funds to Program Marketing, 2013

EAB Additional Resources
Webinars:
- Navigating the Complex Landscape of COE Marketing Challenges and Opportunities, November 2016
- Supporting Student Conversations with SSC, April 2016

Other
- Pueblo Community College, Databases by Guided Pathways and Subjects, 2016
- Everett Community College, Guided Pathways: Spreading the word about the Student Success Movement, blog, 2016
Conclusion

Where Do We Go From Here?
Where Do We Go From Here?

**Technology Necessary, But Insufficient Alone**

Human-Centered Institutional Redesign

Higher education experts and practitioners from community colleges, universities, public, and private institutions agree that the Guided Pathways model is in many ways an oxymoron: a modern idea born within the last five years that feels very familiar. While the terminology may have only just come into fashion on Twitter, industry news, and conference circuits, the idea that student success demands a streamlined, cohesive experience that blends rich academic experiences with supportive resources and services is a very traditional line of thought, particularly in the community college sector, which has always served diverse student populations.

Thus, it’s not entirely surprising that to fully adopt Guided Pathways at an institution for long-term success and sustainability, college leaders must simultaneously investigate both ‘new’ and ‘old’ implementation strategies.

**‘New’ Ways of Implementing Student Success Reform**

A core principle of the Guided Pathways movement, according to organizations like AACC, Achieving the Dream, Complete College America, and others is that curricular redesigns, streamlined onboarding experiences, enhanced support services, and all of the other elements of the model must be accessible to all students at an institution, not just a small subset of the general population. For a budget-constrained institution (which describes the vast majority of community colleges), this cannot be achieved by hiring more advising staff or launching more boutique programs. Instead, leaders have looked to technology to deliver personalized guidance at scale.

EAB’s Student Success Collaborative—Navigate was built for this purpose exactly, to ensure all students complete enrollment, connect with advisors, and get the most of their college experience, whatever their goals might be. As our member institutions launch the platform to students across their campuses, we are inspired and humbled by the impact this has and will continue to have on the community college student experience.

**‘Old Ways’ of Implementing Student Success Reform**

Technology has enormous potential to challenge, disrupt, and improve higher education. Employed correctly, college leaders can leverage technology platforms to scale high-touch support programs, personalize student outreach and communication, identify and target at-risk students, and make higher education accessible and approachable to generations of students more comfortable self-serving now than ever before.

But technology is only one piece of the redesign puzzle. Even the most sophisticated, incredibly-built software can fall flat in the absence of thoughtful process changes and user education. Radical results in student outcomes come when institutions embrace technology at the same time as wholesale change management.

**Elements of the Student Success Collaborative**

The success of Guided Pathways relies on both ‘new’ and ‘old’ ways of implementing student success reform—a delicate but important balance of technology and process improvement, managed by dedicated change management support, which we offer (better stated: require) to all members of the Student Success Collaborative—Navigate. The 25 strategies outlined in this research brief are a selection of some of the most important recommendations from our best practice research library, lessons learned on member campuses, and success stories from Navigate members themselves.

While the term ‘Guided Pathways’ is likely to evolve in the next decade, we are confident that institutional commitment to its four pillars (see page 9) will remain relevant and important for many years to come. Our aim in assembling this resource is to provide college leaders with the inspiration and guidance they need to make meaningful, innovative changes on their campuses.

---

1) According to a Corporate Executive Board survey of 879 customers, the average person younger than 51 years old prefers to self-serve rather than seek live support for an issue. For context, 95% of all community college students in 2015 were younger than 51 years old.
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